

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,165	09/29/2000	Naoji Otsuka	35.C14829	2801
5514 7	11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	NGUYEN, THINH H		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			. 2861	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Advisory Action	09/675,165	OTSUKA ET AL.			
w t	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thinh H Nguyen	2861	I MW		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE: newly amended claims 1, 20, 25 raised n	ew issues that has not been previo	ously considered .			
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>claim 26</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	,	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>26</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-25 and 27.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. ☐ Other:					
					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: prior of record met claimed limitation including that the order of ink deposition for forming dot of secondary color in the sub-pixel area may be symmetric.

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800